

**IN THE SPECIFICATION**On page 37:

Please delete lines lines 24-30.

**Comments**

The long art to cover all the sectors of this device with precise declarations have been amended according to the examiner's requests during the terms of the prosecutions which were introduced to enable an understanding of the whole device.

Applicant has been requested to go further on his analyzing for parts during different stages of device performance; therefore it is axiomatic to find additional analyses on the same art as mentioned on pages 20-25 and 31-33. Eventually such paragraphs could be recognized by any skilled in the art to logically conclude there are no new matters on the subject but more concentration on stated device activities, also by assuring there are absolutely no changes on the original drawings since 1999.

On page 7, line 23-24, this is art which is already found on the drawings originally submitted so the Applicant contents that it is not new art even though it was not in the written description.

On page 31, lines 28-32, this is an expression to show how such theoretical concepts are holding the space universe, to glorying mighty God as written by the applicant who is a faithful believer in God, however it has no effect on the subject matter or is an inclusion of new art, the applicant will kindly ask the examiner to keep it in his application as a matter of faithful belief.

On page 35, lines 5-10 this is mentioned on many please even on the last claim, it was to provide more declaration.

As additionally, on defining the content as new matter, the applicant is recalling how a physical concept was theoretically engaging in practical mission of launching a space ship from the earth's gravity and how it is engaged on the performance of the piston in this device when employing this centrifugal concept, however it is stated in many places on the application, here to provide more precise information for the sake of the art of this application, no new matter to be defined.

While the applicant is referring to the requirements of that first office action in 2001, which had required more precise declarations and information to be added on the amendments.